

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,271	02/11/2004	Robert Sconce	Sconce	5436
7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Pennington, Hill & Baker, LLP Attn: Jeffrey N. Collins			HAYES, BRET C	
Suite 890	. Comis		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
777 Taylor Street			3644	
Fort Worth, TX 76102		,	DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/777,271	SCONCE ET AL.	B
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bret C Hayes	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 2,3 and 6-8 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2004 is/are:		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).	
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ul>			
application from the International Bureau		o in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.	-
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa  6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because FIG. 15 appears to have 56a and 57a reversed. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. The above requirements will be waived upon showing the examiner's assertion to be incorrect.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed

Art Unit: 3644

150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because Line 2, "Said" should be removed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 2, 3 and 6 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 2, line 2, replace "a" with --the-- as "a flex plate" has previously been recited in the base claim; claim 3, line 2, replace "a plunger and spring" with --the plunger and a spring-- as "a plunger" has previously been recited in the base claim; claim 7, line 2, "comprising a threaded insert is constructed" should be --...which is constructed--; and claim 8, line 3, replace the 1<sup>st</sup> recitation of "in" with --is--.
- 5. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 6 fails to further limit the base claim, claim 1, which requires a threaded insert, while dependent claim 6 attempts to remove that requirement.

  Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3644

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 1 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Re claim 1, at line 3, the recitation "or like strength, rigidity and durability" appears to be unclear, since "polymer" and "plastic" are referenced manufacturing materials. Protein is a polymer of amino acids, but its ability to be machined or formed into a 'strong, rigid and durable' sight body is questionable at best. Likewise, polystyrene and polyurethane are plastic having an open cell structure and would hardly be suitable materials for a sight body.
- 9. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the lower surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 3 recites the limitations "the base" in line 4, and "the vertical height adjustments" in lines 4 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 11. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the opposite ends" in line 3, and "the vertical height adjustments" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 12. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the lower surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 13. Re claim 6, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Also, see paragraph 8 above regarding polymer and plastic.

Art Unit: 3644

14. Re – claims 7 and 8, see paragraph 8 above regarding a 'polymer sight body'.

Further, claim 8 recites the limitation "said lower surface" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Also, line 5, --or flex washer-- should be inserted after "flex plate" as both are recited previously and considered to be co-limitations.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 16. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 1,438,694 to Burton.
- 17. Burton discloses the claimed invention including: an adjustable sight comprising: a sight body 10 formed of (presumably) metal or any other material of like strength, rigidity and durability; an aperture screw 14; a threaded insert 18; a flex plate 12; and a plunger 25; wherein the body 10 has a cavity 20 which holds the insert 18 which interfaces with the screw 14.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3644

- 19. Claims 2 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burton.
- 20. Re claim 2, Burton discloses the invention substantially as claimed including the threaded insert functioning in the same manner as a flange, as claimed, except for the threaded insert including a flange. Since Burton discloses the threaded insert acting in the same functional capacity as the claimed flange, the two are art recognized equivalents. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the threaded insert include a flange, since the equivalence of the shoulder disclosed by Burton and a flange for their use in the securing art and the selection of any known equivalents to the shoulder would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.
- 21. Re claim 3, Burton discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a plunger 25 and spring 22 the plunger 25 being biased upwards against the aperture screw 14, via insert 18, and the spring 22 interfacing with a notch 26 in the insert 18. However, Burton does not disclose the plunger 25 being interfacing with a notch in the screw 14. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rearrange the threaded insert and aperture screw, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japiske*, 86 USPQ 70.
- 22. Re claim 4, Burton discloses the claimed invention, as applied to claim 3 above, except for the sight body 10 having a spring clip recess. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rearrange the spring clip 22 as disclosed by Burton, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japiske*, 86 USPQ 70.

Art Unit: 3644

23. Re – claim 5, see claim 3 above, and further, Burton discloses a spring, or spring clip 22,

Page 7

as claimed except for a plurality of flex washers (spring 22). It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of

springs 22, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device

involves only routine skill in the art. St, Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

24. Concerning method claims 7 and 8 in view of the structure disclosed by Burton, as

applied to claims 1-5 above, the method of operating the device would have been inherent,

since it is the normal and logical manner in which the device could be used.

Friday from 5:30 am to 3:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (703) 306 - 0553. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu, can be reached at (703) 305 – 7421. The fax number is (703) 872 – 9306.

bh

10/29/04

TERI P. LUU

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER